

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,822	12/04/2001	Mark Lawrence Dewis	BBA1-021	5186
75	90 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
Richard R. Muccino 758 Springfield Avenue			WONG, LESLIE A	
Summit, NJ 07901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	÷ , ,
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· ·					
			Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/006,822	DEWIS, MARK LAWRENCE			
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Leslie Wong	1761			
Perio	<i> T.</i> d for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication appo eply	ears on the cover sh t	vith the correspondence address			
TI	HE MAI Extension after SIX (If the perion If NO perion Failure to Any reply earned pa	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Described above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
		ospansiva to communication(s) filed on					
را (2a		esponsive to communication(s) filed on his action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	· s action is non-final.				
	_	, ——		-44			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispo	sition	of Claims					
4)	⊠ Cla	nim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.		•			
	4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5)	⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.					
6)	⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
. 7)	☐ Cla	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
		aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	_	Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)		drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
441		pplicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)		proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
			aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)		knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	_	III b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1.0						
	2.[
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)[_	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
	a) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language province translation of the foreign language province translation.	visional application has	been received.			
Attachi			, , ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Application/Control Number: 10/006,822

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 14 is allowed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's disclosure.

Applicant teaches that ethyl 4-(thioacetoxy) butyrate is a natural product obtained from mango (see page 1 of the specification).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's disclosure.

Applicant discloses that ethyl 4-(thioacetoxy) butyrate is a natural product obtained from mango (see page 1 of the specification).

The claims differ as to the use of the claimed compound in specific food products.

It is notoriously well-known that sulfur compounds are useful in modifying or enhancing taste properties (see pages 2-4 of the specification).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,822 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to a person or ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use ethyl 4-(thioacetoxy) butyrate in different food products, such as chewing gums and confections, because the use of sulfur compounds to enhance taste properties in food products is well-known in the art.

The prior art cited is deemed to be relevant to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW September 26, 2003